

HON. SYLVIA O. HINDS-RADIX Corporation Counsel THE CITY OF NEW YORK
LAW DEPARTMENT
100 CHURCH STREET
NEW YORK, NY 10007

JASON IMBIANO Tel.: (212) 356-8766 jimbiano@law.nyc.gov

April 19, 2024

#### VIA ECF

Hon. Jennifer E. Willis Thurgood Marshall United States Courthouse 40 Foley Square New York, NY 10007

Re: Andrea Phillips et al. v. N.Y.C. Dep't of Educ. et al., 23-cv-2140 (JPC)

Your Honor:

I am an Assistant Corporation Counsel in the office of the Corporation Counsel, the Hon. Sylvia O. Hinds-Radix, attorney for Defendants New York City Department of Education (DOE) and David C. Banks in the above-referenced action, wherein nine (9) Plaintiffs seek implementation of orders pursuant to administrative proceedings under the Individuals with Disabilities Education Act, 20 U.S.C. §1400, *et seq.* ("IDEA").

I write jointly with Plaintiffs pursuant to the Court's April 16, 2024 Order (ECF No. 47), directing the Parties to provide a Case Management Plan ("CMP"), and to "submit a joint letter clarifying whether any discovery is required prior to briefing summary judgment motions." Accordingly, the Parties submit the attached CMP. Further, the Parties are in agreement that discovery is not warranted, and request that the Court order the following briefing schedule for their respective Motions for Summary Judgment:

- May 15, 2024: Motions for Summary Judgment;
- May 22, 2024: Responses;
- May 29, 2024: Replies.

Thank you for considering this submission.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Jason Imbiano

Jason Imbiano Assistant Corporation Counsel

cc: All Counsel (via ECF)

THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF	NEW YORK		
		Χ	
Andrea Bletti en et el		:	
Andrea Phillips et al.,		:	CIVIL ACTION NO.:
		:	23-cv-2140
	Plaintiffs,	:	
		:	
		:	
against		:	
		:	
		:	
		:	
N.Y.C. Dep't of Educ. et al.,			
	Defendant.		
		:	
		· V	
		Χ	

### PROPOSED CASE MANAGEMENT PLAN AND REPORT OF RULE 26(f) MEETING

#### **Court Expectations**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR

**Rule 1 and Rule 26(b)(1).** Counsel are expected to have reviewed Rule 1 and Rule 26(b)(1) and considered their obligations thereunder in discussing and preparing a discovery plan.

**Additional consideration of proportionality.** Counsel shall discuss and consider whether phased or iterative discovery will increase efficiency, reduce costs and lead to a faster resolution of the case when preparing a discovery plan.

**Document Requests.** Counsel shall be fully familiar with their obligations under Rules 34 and 26(g) and consider and discuss ways to ensure compliance and minimize disputes regarding overbreadth and specificity of requests and responses.

**Competence**. Counsel shall be sufficiently knowledgeable in matters relating to their clients' technological systems to discuss competently issues relating to electronic discovery, or have involved someone competent to address these issues on their behalf.

Counsel are directed to the Model Stipulation and Proposed Protective Order and Discussion Topics for Rule 26(f) Conference on Judge Willis's Individual Practices Page.

Counsel represent by their signature below that they have read and will comply with the above.

# **Proposed Discovery Plan**

In accordance with Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) and Judge Willis's Individual
Rules, the parties met on April 19, 2024(at least one week before the Initial Case
Management Conference) and are exchanging communications thereafter. At least one
week before the Initial Case Management Conference, the parties submit the following
report for the Court's consideration:

## 2. Summary of Claims, Defenses, and Relevant Issues

2. Summary of Claims, Defenses, and Relevant issues
Plaintiff:
Each Student-Plaintiff in the instant action received a favorable administrative order under the IDEA,
and is awarded funding for their private school at iBRAIN, including tuition and related services,
special transportation, and nursing, where applicable. Defendants claim certain documentation is
required per the orders. Plaintiffs claim that the Defendants are incorrect about their claim that the relevant orders provide for certain documentation for funding to be provided. Thus, the Plaintiffs argue that
those issues are still ripe for review and require judicial intervention in the form of Motions for Summary
Judgment.
<u>Defendant:</u> Plaintiffs' remaining claims concern requests for reimbursement of tuition, transportation and/or
nursing for nine students. The relevant orders demand that Plaintiffs provide certain documentati
for reimbursement that has not yet been provided, and Plaintiffs' remaining claims are
otherwise not ripe or moot.
3. Basis of Subject Matter Jurisdiction:

4.	Subjects on Which Discovery May Be Needed
Plain	ntiff(s):
	N/A
<u>Defe</u>	endant(s): N/A
5.	Informal Disclosures
The	information required by Rule 26(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure was
discl	osed by Plaintiff(s) on N/A In addition, on N/A, Plaintiff(s)
prod	luced/will produce an initial set of relevant documents identified in its Initial
Discl	osures and will continue to supplement its production.
The	information required by Rule 26(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure was
discl	osed by Defendant(s) on N/A In addition, on N/A,
Defe	endant(s) produced/will produce an initial set of relevant documents identified in its
Initia	al Disclosures and will continue to supplement its production.
6.	Formal Discovery
The	parties jointly propose to the Court the following discovery plan:
All fa	act discovery must be completed by N/A
The	parties are to conduct discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil

The parties are to conduct discovery in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Rules of the Southern District of New York. The following interim deadlines may be extended by the parties on consent without application to the Court, provided that the parties meet the deadline for completing fact discovery set forth in 3(a) above.

	a.	<u>Depositions</u> : Depositions shall be completed by N/A and
	limited to	no more than N/A depositions per party. Absent an agreement
	between t	the parties or an order from the Court, non-party depositions shall
	follow init	ial party depositions.
	b.	<u>Interrogatories</u> : Initial sets of interrogatories shall be served on or
	before N/	A All subsequent interrogatories must be served no later
	than 30 da	ays prior to the discovery deadline.
	C.	Requests for Admission: Requests for admission must be served
	_	ore <u>N/A</u> .
	on or sere	
	d.	Requests for Production: Initial requests for production were/will
	be exchan	ged on N/A and responses shall be due on
		. All subsequent requests for production must be served no
	later than	30 days prior to the discovery deadline.
	e.	Supplementation: Supplementations under Rule 26(e) must be
	made with	nin a reasonable period of time after discovery of such information.
7.	Anticipate	ed Discovery Disputes
Are th	nere any ant	icipated discovery disputes? Does either party seek limitations on
disco	very? Descr	ibe.
	N/A	
8.	Amendme	ents to Pleadings
	a. Are	e there any amendments to pleadings anticipated?
	b. Las	st date to amend the Complaint:

9.	Joir	nder of Parties
	a.	Are there other necessary parties that need to be joined? Y/N
	b.	Is joinder of other parties anticipated?
	c.	Last date to join other parties:
10.	Exp	pert Witness Disclosures
At th	is time,	, the parties do/ <mark>do not</mark> (circle one) anticipate utilizing experts. Expert
disco	very sh	nall be completed by N/A
11.	Elect	tronic Discovery and Preservation of Documents and Information
	a.	Have the parties discussed electronic discovery? N / A
	b.	Is there an electronic discovery protocol in place? If not, when do the parties expect to have one in place? N/A
	c.	Are there issues the parties would like to address concerning preservation of evidence and/or electronic discovery at the Initial Case Management Conference?  N/A
12.		ticipated Motions Summary Judgment
13.	Ear	ly Settlement or Resolution
The	parties	s have/ <mark>have not</mark> (circle one) discussed the possibility of settlement. The
par	ties req	uest a settlement conference by no later than N/A

14.	Trial		
	a.	The parties anticipate that this case will be read N/A	y for trial by
	b.	The parties anticipate that the trial of this case we days.	vill require
	c.	The parties request a jury/bench (circle one) tria	ıl.
	d.	The parties consent/do not consent (circle one) jurisdiction at this time.	to Magistrate Judg
15.	Other	Matters	
g the c rposes	ase purs or spec	sed that they may consent to Magistrate Judge ju suant to 28 USC § 636(c). To consent to Magistrat ific dispositive motions, please utilize the consen Webpage.	e Judge jurisdiction
g the c rposes dual P	ase purs or speci ractices	suant to 28 USC § 636(c). To consent to Magistratific dispositive motions, please utilize the consen	e Judge jurisdiction
g the c rposes dual P ectfully	ase purs or spec ractices submitt	suant to 28 USC § 636(c). To consent to Magistratific dispositive motions, please utilize the consen Webpage.  ted this 19 day of April, 2024	e Judge jurisdiction